

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/603,954 | 06/25/2003 | David R. Smith | 101.0055 | 3102 |
| . 75 | 90 06/10/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| Jeffrey E. Griffin | | | DANG, HOANG C | |
| Schlumberger T | echnology Corporation | | | |
| 14910 Airline Road | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| P.O. Box 1590 | | | 3672 | |
| Rosharon, TX | 77583-1590 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/603,954 | SMITH ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| · | Hoang Dang | 3672 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju | ine 2005. | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>51-53</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50 and 54</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 51-53 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/12/2004</u> . | 6) Other: | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | ction Summary Pa | art of Paper No./Mail Date 04232005 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/603,954 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of the invention of Group I, claims 1-50 and 54 in the reply filed on June 2. 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 51-53 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on June 2, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-10, 12-38, 40-50 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kluth et al (US 5,992,250).

As for claims 1, 26 and 54, see the entire patent, in particular column 10, lines 32-36.

As for claims 2-5 and 27-30, see column 6, lines 6-10l.

As for claims 6 and 31, see column 6, line 1.

As for claims 7-10 and 32-35, see column 5, lines 55-61 and column 10, lines 45-49.

As for claims 12-18 and 40-43, see column 6, lines 19-26 and column 13, lines 23-26.

As for claims 19 and 38, see column 7, lines 33-36.

As for claims 20, 21, 25, 44, 45 and 49, see column 13, lines 35-65.

As for claims 22 and 46, see column 6, lines 19-22.

As for claims 24 and 48, see column 7, lines 40-47.

As for claim 36, see column 5, lines 40-41.

As for claim 37, see column 7, lines 51-54 and column 12, lines 30-32.

As for claims 50 and 54, see column 6, lines 27-29.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 5. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 11 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kluth et 6. al '250."

Kluth et al discloses the invention as claimed except that it is not disclosed that the fluid is pulsed at a lower pressure and then at a higher pressure. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that the fluid would be pulsed at a higher pressure if a lower pressure could not get the cable to move as long as the higher pressure is below the maximum allowable pressure.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,954 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

Claim Objections

7. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: The word "pasting" in claim 14, line 1 should be --passing--. Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-7028. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:15-5:45 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoang Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

Art Unit 3672